

**TENTATIVE AGENDA (2 pages) - 2017 November 7**

Agenda: Study Update on County Commission Structure.

**Phoenix Library 5:00 – 7:00 pm**

<p>Welcome - 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting for study update committee  <b>Set date</b> for next meeting</p>	<p>5:00</p>
<p>Any necessary changes to agenda.  Review any progress from last meeting's TO-DO list.: *</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kathleen D will check on venue for next meeting.</li> <li>2. All will READ report on LWVRV website here: <a href="http://lwwroguvalley.org/wp/?page_id=1055">http://lwwroguvalley.org/wp/?page_id=1055</a></li> <li>3. We will <u>all consider</u> anyone we think should be on a 'VITAL to interview' list, as well as anyone who might be 'NICE to interview.' Bring the names / or offices. Think outside the box.</li> <li>4. Jackie C will begin looking into city charters.</li> <li>5. Kathleen D may review AOC for relative information they have available.</li> <li>6. Olena will act as tech, scanning and posting necessary background materials.</li> <li>7. Barbara K will provide a list of the original study interview questions.</li> <li>8. <u>All will review</u> these questions and consider changes, edits and additions to the list. Keep in mind that vague / open-ended questions are of more use.</li> <li>9. <u>Everyone will return</u> at a later meeting all documents that they kept from the original study or background.</li> </ol> <p>*table any discussion items planned in remainder of meeting.</p>	<p>5:10</p>
<p><b>Current Materials from Past Study</b>  Return old sorted materials from past meeting. DISCUSSION if necessary. [Reminder: we KEEP &amp; MERGE with ALL that is accurate &amp; good from past original report &amp; position.]</p>	<p>5:25</p>
<p><b>Time line (and outline)</b> to be tabled until all items for update review are solidified.</p>	<p>5:28</p>
<p>Discussion of SCOPE – in terms of past report and position considerations.  <i>Question:</i> Are there any items that while not appearing as part of scope (included here) must be considered to review scope issues – keeping the idea of narrow scope in mind?  For instance: the question of corrections &amp; updates of areas not covered in scope, such as Elective &amp; Appointed Positions and any items under section IV of original paper.</p> <p>Approved scope (for reference):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) After researching and reviewing current government structure; how do we combine <b>Josephine County</b> into our position? This includes re-naming the position.</li> </ol> <p>Concentrating on the Board(s) of Commission (BOC):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) What number of commissioners would best serve our growing populations?</li> <li>3) Would non-partisan elections serve our voters any better than the current partisan elections?</li> <li>4) Based on findings of recent LWVOR election methods study, would an alternative election method (with different voting ballot) be more effective in representing a greater # of citizens.</li> </ol>	<p>5:30</p>
<p><b>Overall Summary from current position.</b> Group Discussion &amp; <b>Assignment.</b> Summary of changes since 1999 to Government structure if any (minus Elected Dept. Heads section). <b>BRING COPY.</b></p>	<p>5:45</p>

<b>Josephine County</b> – Discussion of what must be added for the merge. Research etc. Role and Responsibility of BOC * # of Commissioners * Population of area * County Charter * County Administrator (CAO) - responsibilities/positions etc. * attendance history (as suggested below). * Other? [Most could be done online.] <b>Assignment?</b>	5:55
<b>Updated Points for Merge.</b> Update with more recent information such as the attendance. Perhaps keeping original info and adding – how many meetings attended by only 2 BD members, how many did a member miss a portion of (come late – leave early) Others? <b>Assignment?</b>	6:10
<b>Partisanship.</b> New research or questions to consider the PRO/CON of Partisan /Non-partisan elections. Section may or may not need updating. <b>Assignment?</b>	6:20
<b>Compensation</b> research or update. To ADD to position. [Keeping to the scope of compensation in relationship to number of members, or any other structural changes.]	6:30
<b>Other areas of interest for assignment ?</b> Example: BK interested in Districts vs. At-large changes to original report.	6:35
<b>Discussion of Interview questions</b> considering what decided above fits into our scope.	6:40
<b>Time tables &amp; time commitments</b> (meetings / phone calls). <b>Discussion &amp; General ideas</b>	6:50
<b>Final Comments</b> from Interested members.	6:55
If time: discussion on March question for community discussion General Meeting (civil discourse efforts). Ideas. <b>ADJOURNMENT</b>	7:00- 7:10

If you can, PLEASE bring a copy of attachments:

1. This agenda
2. 1999 Position
3. Interview Questions sent by Klein in Sept/Oct
4. Original report

Prepared: Barbara Klein